School District No. 1J, Multnomah County, Oregon Board Study Session of July 8, 2014

INFORMAL MINUTES

The Study Session of the Board of Directors came to order at 6:02pm at the call of Co-Chair Pam Knowles in the Board Auditorium of the Blanchard Education Service Center, 501 N Dixon St, Portland, Oregon, 97227.

There were present:

Board of Directors:

Pam Knowles, Co-Chair Ruth Adkins Bobbie Regan Matt Morton Tom Koehler - absent Greg Belisle, Co-Chair Steve Buel

Student Representative:

Minna Jayaswal - absent

Staff:

Carole Smith, Superintendent Caren Huson-Quiniones, Board Office

PUBLIC COMMENT

Elizabeth Nye, Executive Director of Girls Inc. of the Pacific Northwest, spoke on behalf of teen parents and the child centers that are part of a comprehensive high school plan. She asked the Board to please keep the child centers in the high schools. Teen parents are seldom asked what they need to be successful in school, and the biggest support they need is childcare.

David Porter spoke on the need for a Spanish immersion program at the George Smith School. There was enough parent interest on the west side for this program.

<u>DISCUSSION: BOUNDARY REVIEW WITH PORTLAND STATE UNIVERSITY CENTER FOR PUBLIC SERVICE</u>

Jim Jacks of Portland State University (PSU) stated that they were about to begin the internal alignment phase of the boundary review process. PSU staff would like to know what is on the table and what was not.

Director Regan commented that the District process has not always been what it should have been; the processes were too fast. This time, we are trying to do boundary review in a more structural, intentional way. Director Regan added that balancing enrollment, strong neighborhood schools, creating more equitable access for all students, ensuring every school has a robust program, and preserving the capture rate were very important.

Director Adkins stated that balancing of enrollment was important so that we have the appropriate number of students for each program and building. She would like to normalize the boundary review process; Beaverton does theirs annually. She would like to eliminate the hot spot crises.

Director Buel asked if PSU has prepared a map which shows each school, the number of students who would attend their neighborhood school, and the natural boundaries. Mr. Jacks responded no, but it was something that could be done in the future. Director Buel indicated that that was where one should start.

Co-Chair Belisle stated that the hardest part was determining the closest neighborhood school as his house is within one mile of three schools. Part of the discussion is how interrelated the issues are and we need to consider the current grade configuration.

Co-Chair Knowles stated that a discussion around K-8s needs to occur and that we need to look at the facilities that are too small to have a robust program. We should also look at where we would place more middle schools. 34% of our students do not go to their neighborhood school. Director Adkins added that we did not want to create additional upheaval and change. The Superintendent's Advisory Committee on Enrollment and Transfer (SACET) was currently discussing the issue of choice and were working with the PSU team in aligning their work. Director Regan commented that we need to also discuss what the correct size is for our schools. Co-Chair Belisle mentioned that we should also discuss transportation and socioeconomic status.

Director Morton suggested the creation of parody across the District, equity of opportunity, looking for a logical smart process that stands the test of time and would evolve with the changing demographics of our communities, and, no longer to distinguish the quality of schools on the zip code in which they preside.

Director Buel stated that a physical review was needed and that children should be able to go to the school closest to their house.

Mr. Jacks asked the Board if they had a strong preference about things that should or should not be included in a District-wide boundary review. Director Regan stated that we should include the ideal size of schools, natural boundaries, preserving our capture rate, impact of the bond in building new schools, more detailed population projections, charter schools, and alternative schools fit into the discussion. Skyline Elementary is unique in our District – would we allow an exception for them? Director Morton stated that we should not include school closures. Director Adkins commented that we should include a discussion on grandfathering in our Enrollment and Transfer Policy. Co-Chair Knowles mentioned that she would not take school closures off the table. This was a long-term process/policy that we were working on, and she could foresee some of the smaller schools consolidating and the possibility of adding middle schools. We need some decisions from SACET so that we can tie that in with boundary review. Director Regan stated that she would word it in such a way that this process was not intended to include school closures; it should be clear from the start that we are not going into this process to close schools. Director Buel agreed with Director Morton that school closures should be off the table. Director Regan commented that she would prefer that we not have a lot of discussions that are not relevant to our students and student success. We need to focus on having a great option in every neighborhood and keep the focus on the students. Co-Chair Belisle added that the Board should consider prioritizing their values

Mr. Jacks reported that this was an evolving conversation. There will be a continuing public conversation about boundary review. One scenario may include a robust public community conversation. There will be a scenario that is faster or shorter with trade-offs. PSU will have a conversation similar to tonight's with District leadership and they will look for the overlapping values. Boundary review will not be the right tool for every situation, and how you choose to engage the community over time is going to be important. Mr. Jacks asked the Board what specific points in the process the Board should play a formal role in the boundary review process. Director Regan responded that the Board should consider a Board Committee, with perhaps three Board members, which would work with PSU on the project going forward. Director Adkins stated that the project was huge and that the entire Board should be engaged and weigh in at key

points. This discussion would occur at the next Board Retreat. Co-Chair Belisle commented that he would like meetings with PSU more often than less, depending on work flow. Co-Chair Knowles mentioned as the Board looks at priorities at their next retreat, that they remember what was said tonight. Community meetings were important for Board members to attend and report back on what you heard at those meetings. The major role for the Board will be to establish the policies that come out of the discussions.

DISCUSSION: SMATER BALANCED ASSESSMENT RESOLUTION:

Director Adkins provided background information on the draft resolution. The opportunity was now for the Board to state its values and concerns on the Smarter Balanced Assessment. The draft resolution states the Board's position on the transition.

Director Buel presented an amended resolution. Standards have never been determined to help students. The Common Core probably does some good things, but the way it is being approached is wrong. In kindergarten, it was doing tremendous damage. The Smarter Balanced Assessment will not provide us with a grade level reading assessment; it was not going to give us foundational skills in math. The test tells you if the student can solve trick questions which are related in some manner to reading strategies that were not developed by students. We cannot even look at the test to see if it is any good. The resolution should say we will not give the SBAC test. We should be testing whether our students are at grade level.

Director Morton stated his appreciation of Director Buel's comments and added that he would like to speak to him at some point on the role that standards play in a classroom. Standards do not educate our students. He felt that Director Buel's amendments were completely on par, but maybe just needed to be tweaked somewhat.

Director Regan mentioned that the biggest issue was around communication and informing parents/community on what the Common Core is and setting an expectation of a more difficult test and admitting that we expect that scores will go down.

ADJOURN

Co-Chair Knowles adjourned the meeting at 8	46pm.
Submitted by:	
Caren Huson-Quiniones, Senior Specialist PPS Board of Education	