State and Federal Sexual Harassment Changes to be Added to Existing Policies

Preview of Upcoming Changes/Proposals to Existing Board Policies

Policy/AD	Policy/AD Name	Student or Staff	Scope of Changes (major, minor)	Approx. Timeline for Committee Review	Lead/others who should participate	Remaining Questions or Concerns
1.80.020-P	Non- discrimination/ Anti- Harassment	All	Minor	3rd	Liane	Updated language
4.30.060-P	Anti- harassment	Student	Rescission recommended	3rd	Liane	
4.30.070-P	Teen Dating Violence/Dom estic Violence	Student	Major revisions; HB 3077 (state) integration required	1st- need to develop draft/then work with Shanice on stakeholder engagement.	Liane	We have incorporated the process for addressing teen dating violence/DV but now need to align the policies to practice
4.50.051-P	Reporting of Suspected Child Abuse	Student	Minor	4th	Sharon/Amy	Should this be under students or staff? Appears to refer to good faith for staff but is nested under student policies
4.50.032-P	Formal Public Complaints	Student/ Community	Completed	1st	Currently under review 11/20	Add info @ TIX complaints under types of complaints (4c) "health & safety"
5.10.030-P	Grievance Procedure - Sex Discrimination	Staff	Rescission recommend	1st	Mary (rescind)	Delete outdated policy (1976) Policy should be rescinded. Workplace harassment AD will provide procedure.
<u>5.10.062-P</u>	Sexual Harassment	Staff	Incorporate provisions into	1st	Mary	There is considerable overlap in the goals and expectations expressed in these two

Staff to	the	policies and after review by legal and the
Student	Professional	Title IX Director, it is our recommendation
	Conduct	that elements of the existing Sexual
	Policy and	Harassment Policy be incorporated into the
	then rescind	Professional Conduct Policy and thus, the
		Sexual Harassment-Staff to Student Policy
		be rescinded in its entirety.
		,
		The Sexual Harassment- Staff to Student
		Policy was adopted in 1994 and has not
		been updated since that time. It does not
		contain the current definition of sexual
		(mis)conduct nor does it define sexual
		harassment except to assert that it is a form
		of discrimination. It includes an obligation to
		provide training to volunteers and
		employees and for the establishment of an
		effective complaint process which shall be
		referenced in the Student Handbook. It also
		includes a reporting requirement but only
		"any attempt by a student to establish an
		amorous or sexual relationship with a staff
		member or volunteer."
		The policy defines sexual harassment as
		conduct, verbal or nonverbal, which
		denigrates or shows hostility to a student or
		students by reason of their gender and
		provides examples of gender bias.
		. , ,
		The Professional Conduct Policy provides
		clearer expectations of what constitutes
		prohibited conduct and offers specific
		examples of what constitutes sexual
		1

	conduct as it pertains to appropriate adult- student relationships. It alludes to sexual harassment in section (A)(1) of the boundary violations section but it is through reference to the District's non-discrimination policy 1.80.020-P.
	The current policy alludes to required training and reporting requirements; however, the language in the Professional Conduct is more comprehensive than that found in the Sexual Harassment policy. Training and reporting requirements are also covered the related administrative directive, Prohibition against Employee Child Abuse and Sexual Conduct
	Administrative Directive 5.10.063-AD. Specific changes to the Professional Conduct policy are proposed as follows: Add additional language to Boundary Violations section (A)(1) to include more specific examples of discrimination on the
	basis of sex. It could include "conduct, verbal or nonverbal, which denigrates or shows hostility to a student or students by reason of their gender" and the examples of gender bias found in the Sexual Harassment policy.
	Rewrite Boundary Violations section (A)(2) to more accurately define sexual harassment under state and federal law.

	This may be a difficult needle to thread as
	the state and federal definitions do not align.
	Under Title IX, Sexual Harassment is defined under new federal regulations as s:
	1) Quid pro quo harassment;
	2) Unwelcome conduct determined by a reasonable person to be so severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive that it effectively denies a person equal access to the recipient's education program or activity; and
	 Sexual assault, dating violence, domestic violence, or stalking, as defined in federal laws.
	Oregon defines Sexual Harassment to include:
	A demand or request for sexual favors in exchange for benefits;
	2) Unwelcome conduct of a sexual nature that is physical, verbal or nonverbal and that interferes with a student's educational program or activity or that creates an intimidating, offensive or hostile educational environment; and

	3) Assault when sexual contact occurs with a student's consent because the student is under the influence of drugs or alcohol, is unconscious or is pressured through physical force, coercion or explicit or implied threats.
	If the policy committee adopts this recommendation, we also suggest renumbering this section as the Professional Conduct policy 5.10.064-P currently follows the Prohibition against Employee Child Abuse and Sexual Conduct Administrative Directive 5.10.063-AD.